*International Journal of Pharmaceutics,* 53 (1989) 1–5 1 Elsevier

IJP 01778

# **Research Papers**

# **Measurement of the surface tension of mucin solutions**

Antonios G. Mikos and Nikolaos A. Peppas

*School of Chemical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 (U S A )* (Received 17 October 1988) (Accepted 30 November 1988)

*Key words:* Bioadhesion; Mucus; Mucin; Surface tension

#### Summary

The surface tension of various mucm soluuons at constant or varying pH was measured using the pendent drop method The surface tension was independent of added electrolyte concentration, pH and mucm concentration. It only depended on the source of mucin. The results are related to the mechanism of bioadhesion between polymers and mucus.

### **Introduction**

In previous work on the bioadhesive characteristics of polymer microparticles, especially in contact with nasal or sublingual mucus, it was pointed out that the surface tension of the mucus or mucin solution may be important in the development of the adhesive bond (Peppas and Buri, 1985). Some energetic characteristics of mucin solutions have been discussed by Baszkin and Lyman (1980), Proust et al. (1984), and Levine et al. (1987). More recently, Bloomfield (1983) has also examined some of the hydrodynamic characteristics of mucus. Yet, systematic studies of the effect of pH electrolyte and mucin concentrations on the surface tension are not available.

The experimental methods that can be used to measure the surface tension of protein and polymer solutions include the pendent drop method, the sessile bubble (or drop) method, the rotating bubble method, and the Wilhelmy plate method. The suitability of these methods and the limitations of other less popular techniques were discussed by Wu (1974). The first 3 methods which are based on drop (or bubble) profiles are the most accurate. They are independent of contact angle but require knowledge of the density of the system. Density measurements are not needed for the Wilhelmy plate method which presumes a zero contact angle of the liquid on the plate. Such a condition is not always the case, which restricts the applicability of the method. In addition to density, the value of the refractive index of a liquid is necessary in order to calculate its surface tension with the rotating bubble method.

The pendent drop method, which is equivalent to the sessile bubble (or drop) method, was selected to measure the surface tension of various mucin solutions. The difficulty in isolating pure mucins renders this method more advantageous than others since it only requires small samples.

*Correspondence:* N A Peppas, School of Chermcal Engmeenng, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, U.S.A

### **Materials and Methods**

Aqueous and buffered mucin solutions were prepared with crude mucin from porcine stomach (PSM) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and mucin from bovine submaxillary glands (BSM) (Sigma Chemical Co.), which was purified by the Nisizawa and Pigman (1959) technique. The aqueous solutions were prepared with different concentrations of NaCl.

The effects of NaCI concentration, mucin concentration and solution pH on the mucin solution surface tension were investigated for values of these parameters within the physiological range. All studies were performed at 37 ° C. The densities of the mucin solutions were measured with a digital densitometer (model DMA 40, Mettler/ Paar, Graz, Austria) with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  $kg/m<sup>3</sup>$ .

A contact angle goniometer (model 100-00, Ramé-Hart, Mountain Lakes, NJ) equipped with an environmental chamber (model 100-07) and a 35 mm photomicrographic camera (model C-35DA-2, Olympus) was employed in the surface tension experiments. A pendent drop was formed in the environmental chamber with a microsyringe. A photomicrograph of the pendent drop



Fig 1. Photomicrograph of the pendent drop profile of an aqueous porcine stomach mucin (PSM) solution (5%wt PSM) showing the definition of the equatorial diameter,  $d_e$ , and the selected plane diameter,  $d_s$ , for the surface tension calculation.

profile was taken once equilibrium was established. A typical photomicrograph is show in Fig. 1. The drop age at equilibrium was approximately 30 min. The equatorial and selected plane diameters were measured from the photomicrograph.

# **Theoretical analysis**

The mathematical framework for the surface tension calculation from the pendent drop profile has been discussed before (e.g., Ambwani and Fort, 1979). The analysis is based on the equation of capillarity (Laplace equation), which relates the pressure difference,  $\Delta p$ , across a curved surface (interface) to the surface (interfacial) tension,  $\gamma$ .

$$
\Delta p = \gamma \left( \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right) \tag{1}
$$

Here  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the two principal radii of curvature of the surface.

The surface tension is a function of the geometry of the pendent drop profile at equilibrium. In the simplest case two dimensions of the drop shape are needed to calculate the surface tension, (1) the maximum or equatorial diameter,  $d_e$ , and (ii) the selected plane diameter,  $d_s$ , at a distance  $d_e$  from the apex of the drop (see Fig. 1). Recently, digital video image processing techniques were developed to calculate the surface tension from the entire drop profile (Qutubuddin et al., 1984; Rotenberg et al., 1983).

The surface tension is obtained as

$$
\gamma = \frac{\Delta \rho g d_e^2}{H} \tag{2}
$$

where g is the gravitational acceleration and  $\Delta \rho$  is the density difference between the liquid and its vapor (for surface tension measurements).

$$
\Delta \rho = \rho_l - \rho_v \tag{3}
$$

The parameter  $H$  is a correction factor and is a function of the shape parameter, S, defined as

$$
S = d_s/d_e \tag{4}
$$

The values of  $H$  for different shape parameters were obtained by numerically solving the Laplace equation and were tabulated by Adamson (1982). By best fitting these values, Misak (1968) derived the following equations for the variation of  $H$ with S:

$$
1/H = 0.32720S^{-2.56651} - 0.97553S^2 + 0.84059S
$$

$$
-0.18069 \quad (0.401 \le S \le 0.46) \tag{5}
$$

 $1/H = 0.31968S^{-2.59725} - 0.46898S^2 + 0.50059S$ 

 $-0.13261$   $(0.46 < S \le 0.59)$  (6)

 $1/H = 0.31522S^{-2.62435} - 0.11714S^2 + 0.15756S$ 

 $-0.05285$   $(0.59 < S \le 0.68)$  (7)

 $1/H = 0.31345S^{-2.64267} - 0.09155S^2 + 0.14701S$ 

 $-0.05877$   $(0.68 < S \le 0.90)$  (8)

$$
1/H = 0.30715S^{-2.84636} - 0.69116S^3 + 1.08315S^2
$$

$$
-0.18341S - 0.20970 \quad (0.90 < S \le 1.00)
$$

**(9)** 

The above equations are sufficiently accurate and were used in our calculations.

## **Results and Discussion**

The correction factor, H, was calculated from the measured values of  $d_e$  and  $d_s$  (see Fig. 1) by one of the Eqns. 5-9 depending on the value of the shape parameter, S. The surface tension,  $\gamma$ , was derived from Eqns. 2 and 3 by using the measured liquid density,  $\rho_1[g=9.80665 \text{ m/s}^2, \rho_v]$  $= 1.1 \text{ kg/m}^3$  at 37 °C (Weast, 1985)].

The surface tensions of aqueous porcine stomach mucin (PSM) solutions (5%wt PSM) were measured for the NaC1 concentrations of 0.0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 wt.%. The experimental findings, which are presented in Table 1, indicate that there is no dependence of the surface tension on the NaCl concentration. The  $\gamma$  values of buffered

#### TABLE 1

*Dependence of the density and the surface tension on the NaCl concentratton for 5 wt % aqueous porcine stomach mucm solutions at 37°C* 

Density $(kg/m^3)$	Surface tension (J/m <sup>2</sup> )
1008	0.04619
1010	0.04694
1012	0.04574
1016	0.04597
1045	0.04604

PSM solutions of pH 7 were measured for various mucin concentrations and are shown in Table 2. It was found that the surface tension was unaffected by the mucin concentration for values in the range from 1.0 to 30.0%wt.

#### TABLE 2

*Dependence of the density and the surface tension on the mucin concentration for buffered porcine stomach mucin solutions (pH = 7) at 37°C* 

Porcine stomach mucin solution $(wt.\%)$	Density $(kg/m^3)$	Surface tension $(J/m^2)$
0	1001	0.06972
1	1004	0.04479
2	1007	0.04599
5	1017	0.04648
10	1035	0.04552
15	1050	0.04674
20	1070	0.04507
30	1107	0.04316

TABLE 3

Dependence of the density and the surface tension on the solution *pH for buffered 5 wt.* % porcine stomach mucin solutions at 37°C

рH	Density	Surface tension	
	$(kg/m^3)$	(J/m <sup>2</sup> )	
2	1013	0.04629	
3	1014	0.04571	
4	1013	0.04688	
5	1017	0.04774	
6	1016	0.04640	
7	1017	0.04648	
8	1018	0.04402	



**Fig 2. Vanauon of the surface tension, 7, of buffered porcine**  stomach mucm (PSM) solutions (5%wt. PSM) with the solution **pH at 37 o C.** 

**The dependence of the surface tension of buffered PSM solution (5 wt.% PSM) on the solution pH was examined for the pH values of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. From Table 3 it can be deduced that**  the value of  $\gamma$  is also invariant with the solution **pH. As shown in Fig. 2, there is a slight decrease at abnormally high pH values.** 

**The surface tensions of buffered bovine submaxillary mucin (BSM) and PSM solutions of the same mucin concentration and pH are compared**  in Table 4. The value of  $\gamma$  for BSM is smaller than **that for PSM. From these results it was concluded that the mucin surface tension only depends on the mucin type and remains constant with changes in the ionic strength, mucin concentration and solution pH.** 

**These results may have a profound effect on our understanding of the bioadhesion of spherical controlled-release microparticulate systems. First, as mentioned before (Mikos and Peppas, 1986),** 

#### TABLE 4

Surface tension and density of various buffered 1 wt % mucin *solutions (pH = 7) at 37°C* 

Mucin type	Density $(kg/m^3)$	Surface tension (J/m <sup>2</sup> )
Bovine submaxillary	1004	0.03783
Porcine stomach	1004	0.04479



**Fig 3. Influence of poly(acryhc acid) concentration on fracture**  energy for bioadhesive controlled release tablets tested in con**tact w~th bovine sublmgual mucus (Ponchel et** al., 1987).

**for a bioadheslon phenomenon to be considered reversible, the measured fracture energy during separation of the polymer surface from mucin must be of the same order as 2y. However, our recent experimental data of fracture energy as a function of poly(acrylic acid) content in controlled release devices in contact with bovine sublingual mucosal tissue (Ponchel et al., 1987) indicate an**  energy of the order of 50 to 180 J/m<sup>2</sup>, (see also **Fig. 3) which is of course 3 orders of magnitude**  greater than  $2\gamma$  based on the values of  $\gamma$  de**termined here. Thus, the bioadhesion phenomenon is not a reversible thermodynamic process, because the fracture energy is of the order of brittle polymer fracture. Besides, experimental studies indicate that in bioadhesion there is a dependence on pH, ionic strength or mucin concentration.** 

**Thus, bioadhesive interactions of a polymer with mucus have much to do with the surface tension of the mucus. However, for the better bioadhesive system the fracture energy (required to initiate surface detachment) will be much larger than the surface tension, indicating a highly irreversible separation process.** 

## **Acknowledgements**

**We wish to thank Prof. Elias I. Franses of Purdue University for allowing us to use his**  experimental facilities of surface tension measurement and for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (CBT 86-17719). A.G.M. was also a David Ross Fellow.

### **References**

- Adamson, A W, Physical Chemistry of Surfaces, Wiley, New York, NY, 1982
- Ambwam, D.S and Fort, T., Jr, Pendent drop technique for measunng hquid boundary tensions In Good, R J.. and Stromberg, R R (Eds.), *Surface and Colloid Science*, *Vol 1l,* Plenum, New York, NY, 1979, pp. 93-115
- Baszkin, A and Lyman, D.J, The interaction of plasma proteins with polymers *I J Biomed Mater Res*, 14 (1980) 393-403.
- Bloomfield, V A, Hydrodynamic properties of mucous glycoproteins, *Btopolymers,* 22 (1983) 2141-2154.
- Levine, JJ, Reddy, MS, Tabak, LA, Loomis, R.E., Bergey, E.J, Jones, PC, Cohen, R.E., Stinson, M.W and AI-Hashimi, I., Structural aspects of salivary glycoproteins, J *Dent Res,* 66 (1987) 436-441.
- Mikos, A.G. and Peppas, N A., Systems for controlled release of drugs V. Bloadhesxve Systems, *S TP Pharma,* 2 (1986) 705-716
- Misak, M D., Equations for determining  $1/H$  versus S values m computer calculations of interfaoal tension by the pendent drop method, *J Coll. Interf Sct,* 27 (1968) 141.
- Nisizawa, K and Pigman, W, The composition and properties of the mucin clot from cattle submaxallary glands, *Arch Oral Biol.,* 1 (1959) 161-170
- Peppas, N A and Buri, P.A., Surface, interfacial and molecular aspects of polymer bioadheslon on soft tissues, *J Controlled Release,* 2 (1985) 257-275.
- Ponchel, G, Touchard, F., Duchêne, D. and Peppas, N.A., Bloadhesive analysis of controlled-release systems I J *Controlled Release,* 5 (1987) 129-139.
- Proust, J.E., Baszkin, A., Perez, E. and Biossonnade, M M., Bowne submaxdlary mucm adsorption at sohd/hquid interfaces and surface forces, *Colloids Surf.*, 10 (1984) 43-52.
- Qutubuddin, S, Miller, CA and Fort, T, Jr, Phase behavior of pH-dependent rmcroemulsions, *J Coil Interf Scl,* 101 (1984) 46-52
- Rotenberg, Y, Boruvka, L., and Neumann, A W, Determination of surface tension and contact angle from the shapes of axisymmetric fluid interfaces, *J Coll Interf Sci.*, 93 (1983) 169-178
- Weast, R C (Ed.), *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics,*  66th edn, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1985
- Wu, S., Interfacial and surface tensions of polymers, *J Macromol Sct Revs Macromol Chem., 10 (1974) 1-26.*

#### *Note added m proof*

Recent studies showed that the bioadhesion of PAA-based formulations on mucus strongly depended on the solution pH and lomc strength (Lejoyeux et al, 1988) Consequently, one refers that the btoadhesion of controlled release formulations on soft tissues is a thermodynarmc phenomenon only for poor bioadhesives In this case, the mucm solution surface tension determines the surface and interfacial energetics However, for the better bioadhesive systems (e.g. those containing PAA) the fracture of their interfaces with mucus disentanglement, similar to those occurring in polymer fracture (Mikos and Peppas, 1988a and b). Here, the interfacial interactions of polymeric materials and mucus are related to their macromolecular structure, topology and dynamics, much in the same way as in polymer-polymer adhesion (Mikos and Peppas, 1988b)

Lejoyeux, F, Ponchel, G, Wouessidjewe, D, Peppas, N A. and Duchêne, D., Influence of the composition of the test medium on the adhesion of a bioadhesive tablet to a biological tissue, *Proc Int Symp Controlled Release Bioact Mater*, 15 (1988) 460-461

MLkos, A G. and Peppas, N.A, Polymer chain entanglements and brittle fracture, *J Chem Phys,* 88 (1988a) 1337-1342 M~kos, A.G. and Peppas, N A, Healing and fracture at the interface between two gels, *Europhys Lett,* 6 (1988b) 403-406